## State of New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division Third Judicial Department

Decided and Entered: April 25, 2024	PM-76-24
In the Matter of ATTORNEYS IN VIOLATION OF JUDICIARY LAW § 468-a.	
ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE FOR THE THIRD JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, Petitioner;	DECISION AND ORDER ON MOTION
ERIC RYAN PLANT, Respondent.	
(Attorney Registration No. 4345534)	
Calendar Date: March 4, 2024	
Monica A. Duffy, Attorney Grievance Department, Albany (Alison M. Coan of coat the Third Judicial Department.	e Committee for the Third Judicial unsel), for Attorney Grievance Committee for
Norris McLaughlin, P.A., New York respondent.	City (Danielle M. DeFilippis of counsel), for

Motion by respondent for an order reinstating him to the practice of law following his suspension by October 2021 order of this Court (*Matter of Attorneys in Violation of Judiciary Law § 468-a*, 198 AD3d 1068, 1083 [3d Dept 2021]; *see* Rules for Atty Disciplinary Matters [22 NYCRR] § 1240.16; Rules of App Div, 3d Dept [22 NYCRR] § 806.16).

Upon reading respondent's notice of motion and affidavit with exhibits sworn to January 25, 2024, affirmation of counsel and exhibits dated February 22, 2024 and respondent's affidavit with exhibits sworn to March 8, 2024, and the March 1, 2024 responsive correspondence from the Attorney Grievance Committee for the Third Judicial Department, and having determined, by clear and convincing evidence, that (1) respondent has complied with the order of suspension and the rules of this Court, (2) respondent has the requisite character and fitness to practice law, and (3) it would be in the public interest to reinstate respondent to the practice of law (*see* Rules for Atty Disciplinary Matters [22 NYCRR] § 1240.16 [a]), it is

ORDERED that respondent's motion for reinstatement is granted; and it is further

ORDERED that respondent is reinstated as an attorney and counselor-at-law, effective immediately.

Garry, P.J., Lynch, Ceresia, McShan and Mackey, JJ., concur.

ENTER:

Robert D. Mayberger Clerk of the Court

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In light of respondent's provision of proof of his satisfactory passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Exam, among other things, we excuse his noncompliance with Rules of the Appellate Division, Third Department (22 NYCRR) § 806.16 (c) (5) (i) (*see Matter of Attorneys in Violation of Judiciary Law § 468-a [Lee]*, 224 AD3d 1213, 1214 n [3d Dept 2024]).